


## Students' Feedback: 2014-15 Science Faculty (Sem 1 and 2)


Question: 1.1 Students' Feed	lback On Course (All Questions' Avera	age)
Grad	Grading By Students'	Remark
Excellent	27.3	Received feedback on the
Very Good	36.4	course design prescribed by the University for science graduate
Good	32.0	level is good. 63.7Students shown an average satisfaction
Poor	3.9	on the curriculum as it is good for professional and
Very Poor	0.4	employability prospects.
Question: 1.2 Students' Feedb	ack On Course (All Questions' Averag	e )
Grad	Rating By Students'	Feedback data proved that
Highly	44.8	constant internal monitoring of students' performance and
Appreciably	42.4	career oriented guidance on time by subject teachers
Moderately Poorly	8.5	enhanced their confidence and motivated them.
Not at all	4.2	monvated them.
Question: 2 Students' Feedba	ack on Teacher (All Questions' Averag	e )
All Teachers' Average		Remark
Grad	Rating By Students'	Students are contented and
Very Good	52.9	displayed a distinctive figure of 96.6% on all teachers'
Good	43.7	performance. With a highly impressive 0% of
Satisfactory	3.4	discontentment.
Unsatisfactory	0.0	

Question: 3 Overall Rating	(All Questions' Average )	Remark
Grad	Grading By Students'	In terms of overall performance, 74.5% of students are highly
Very Good	44.4	satisfied with all types of
Good	30.1	facilities provided them by the college.
Average	19.5	
Poor	4.3	
Very Poor	1.8	












Science Faculty (Semester 3 and 4)


Question: 1.1 Students' Feedback On Course (All Questions' Average)		
Grad	Grading By Students'	Remark
Excellent	21.0	Received feedback on the course design prescribed by the University for science graduate level is good. 63.9  Students shown an average satisfaction on the curriculum
Very Good	42.9	
Good	28.1	
Poor	4.9	as it is moderate for professional and employability
Very Poor	3.1	prospects.
Question: 1.2 Students' Feedbac	k On Course (All Questions' Averag	ge)
Grad	Rating By Students'	Feedback data proved that constant internal monitoring of
Highly	35.63	students' performance and
Appreciably	46.25	career oriented guidance on time by subject teachers
Moderately Poorly	15.00	enhanced their confidence and motivated them.
Not at all	3.13	— monvaced mem.

All Teachers' Average		Remark
Grad	Rating By Students'	With a highly impressive 0%
Very Good	68.35	discontent on all teachers' performance, students are content and displayed a distinctive figure of 90.27% on all teachers' performance.
Good	21.92	
Satisfactory	9.74	
Unsatisfactory	0.00	
Question: 3 Overall Ratin	g (All Questions' Average )	Remark
Grad	Grading By Students'	In terms of overall performance more than 70
Very Good	34.4	percent of students are highly
Good	35.9	satisfied with all facilities provided by college.
Average	22.8	
Poor	3.1	
Very Poor	3.8	













## Science Faculty: Semester 5 and 6

Question: 1.1 Students' Feed	lback On Course (All Questions' Av	verage)
Grad	Grading By Students'	Remark
Excellent	76.5	As per received data, students are satisfied on summit level with the curriculum as it is prosperous for professional and employability prospects.
Very Good	23.5	
Good	0.0	
Poor	0.0	
Very Poor	0.0	
Question: 1 .2 Students' Feedba	ack On Course (All Questions' Aver	rage)
Grad	Rating By Students'	Feedback data proved that
Highly	34.4	constant internal monitoring of students' performance and career
Appreciably	61.1	oriented guidance on time by
Moderately Poorly	2.2	subject teachers enhanced their confidence and motivated them.
Not at all	2.2	
Question: 2 Students' Feedback	ck on Teacher (All Questions' Avera	age )
All Teachers' Average		Remark
Grad	Rating By Students'	Feedback Cell has asked students
Very Good	77.2	to evaluate performance of teachers. On all teachers'
Good	21.6	performance, students are highly contented and displayed a
Satisfactory	1.2	
		distinctive figure of 98.8% on all teachers' performance with
Unsatisfactory	0.0	discontent of 0 % only.
Question: 3 Overall Rating	(All Questions' Average )	Remark
Very Good	47.8	In terms of overall performance, 86.9% of students are highly satisfied with all types of facilities provided by college.
Good	39.1	
Average	11.3	
Poor	1.2	
Very Poor	0.6	












## **Commerce Faculty**


Question: 1.1 Students'	Feedback On Course (All Quest	tions' Average )	
Grad	Grading By Students'	Remark	
Excellent	14.8	Received feedback on the course design	
Very Good	32.1	prescribed by the University for Commerce graduate level is highly appreciated.	
Good	42.7	Students are content with the curriculum as	
Poor	8.4	it is good professional and employability	
Very Poor	2.0	prospects.	
Question: 1 .2 Students' Fe	eedback On Course (All Questic	ons' Average )	
Grad	Rating By Students'	Feedback defines that constant internal	
Highly	35.3	monitoring of students' performance and career oriented guidance by subject	
Appreciably	40.9	teachers enhanced their confidence.	
Moderately Poorly	15.3		
Not at all	6.1	-	
2.1 All Teachers' Aver Grad Very Good Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	Rating By Students' 36.20 39.49 17.08 7.23	Remark  With a marginal dissention of 7% on all teachers' performance, students are content and displayed a distinctive figure of 75.69% on all teachers' performance. Feedback Cell has also asked students to evaluate performance of permanent teachers and management and university appointed contractual teachers in two	
2.2 Permanent Teachers'		separate parts:	
Grad	Rating By Students'	Remark	
Very Good	44.0	Feedback of performance of permanent	
Good	37.0	teachers displays 81% against a margin dissent of 3.40% Feedback of performan of Management and university appoint	
Satisfactory	15.7		
Unsatisfactory	3.40	contractual teachers displays 72.2% against a marginal dissent of 9.79%. That may be	
		because of lack ok experience.	


Question :3 Overall Rating (All C	Questions' Average )	Remark
Very Good	31.2	67.9% of the Commerce faculty
Good	36.7	undergraduate students have responded fairly on the overall rating ranging from
Average	19.4	course design to the teachers appraisal,
Poor	7.4	academic environment, infrastructur sports, and other basic amenities.
Very Poor	5.3	














\*\*\*\*\*\*